

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/427,149	10/25/1999	RICHARD E. WARD	73618/RHS-00	2803		
27498 7	590 11/14/2005		EXAMINER			
PILLSBURY P.O. BOX 105	WINTHROP SHAW PI	VAN DOREN, BETH				
MCLEAN, V	• •	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			3623			

DATE MAILED: 11/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/427,149	WARD, RICHARD E.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Beth Van Doren	3623		

	•			3320	
The MAILING DATE of the	s communication appear	ars on the cover she	eet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress
THE REPLY FILED 27 October 2005	AILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CO	NDITION FOR	RALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final re this application, applicant must ti places the application in condition a Request for Continued Examin time periods:	mely file one of the follow n for allowance; (2) a No	ving replies: (1) an an tice of Appeal (with a	nendment, aff ppeal fee) in o	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 CF	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 4 m	onths from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: no event, however, will the statu Examiner Note: If box 1 is check TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL	(1) the mailing date of this A tory period for reply expire la sed, check either box (a) or (	dvisory Action, or (2) the ater than SIX MONTHS t b). ONLY CHECK BOX	from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under have been filed is the date for purposes of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1 set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any replymay reduce any earned patent term adjustr	determining the period of ext ) the expiration date of the solution received by the Office later	ension and the correspondance of the corresponding the corresponding than three months after	onding amount of the contract	of the fee. The approprising the final Office in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action: or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CF a Notice of Appeal has been filed)</li> </ol>	R 41.37(a)), or any exter	nsion thereof (37 CFF	R 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) file</li> <li>They raise new issues that</li> <li>They raise the issue of new</li> <li>They are not deemed to ple</li> </ol>	would require further con wmatter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or sea w);	arch (see NO	TE below);	
appeal; and/or					
(d)⊠ They present additional cla NOTE: <u>see attached</u> . (Se			er of finally rej	ected claims.	
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in com</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome</li> <li>6.  Newly proposed or amended class</li> </ul>	pliance with 37 CFR 1.12 the following rejection(s):	21. See attached Noti			•
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the prophow the new or amended claims. The status of the claim(s) is (or v Claim(s) allowed:	would be rejected is proviil be) as follows:	rided below or append	d, or b) 🗌 wil ded.	ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: 9,10,14,26- Claim(s) rejected: 1,3-8,11-13,18 Claim(s) withdrawn from conside	5-25,30,32-39,41,43-48,5		81 and 84-93	· •	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
B.  The affidavit or other evidence fill because applicant failed to provious was not earlier presented. See 3	de a showing of good and 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons w	hy the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence fil entered because the affidavit or showing a good and sufficient re</li> </ol>	other evidence failed to o	vercome all rejections	s under appea	al and/or appellant fail	ls to provide a
10. 🗌 The affidavit or other evidence i	s entered. An explanation				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION  11. The request for reconsideration		t does NOT place the	application in	o condition for allowan	ice because:
12.  Note the attached Information E					oo booddoo.
13.  Other:	osciosure Statement(s). (	P10/36/00 01 P10-1		-	1
				TARIO R. HAFIZ	<u> </u>
				isory patent exam Nology center 360	
			12011	regressive to the extensive selection of the selection of	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Application/Control Number: 09/427,149 Page 2

Art Unit: 3623

## Advisory Action

- 1. The following advisory action is in response to the communications received 10/27/2005. Applicant and Examiner had a telephone interview on 10/27/2005, in which Applicant explained how claim 9 was similar to claim 49 and thus allowable without all intervening claims between the allowed dependent claim and independent claim 1. Applicant further discussed how new claim 96 contained the same allowable subject matter as claim 79. Therefore, based on this discussion, Applicant resubmitted the after-final amendments to the claims, wherein claims 5, 9, 12, 14-18, 21-22, 26, 34-36, 40, 44, 49, 52, 54, 55, 57-58, 61-62, 64, 68, 72-73, 77, 80, 82, 84, 88, 91, and 93 have been amended, claims 95-99 have been added, and claims 1, 3, 8, 11, 32, 37-39, 41-43, 48, 51, 53, 71, 75-76, 78, 81, 86, and 90 have been canceled. However, after reviewing the claims, these amendments, new claims, and cancellations still will not be entered into the record because they raise new issues, such as new scope, that require further consideration.
- 2. Claim 9 presented in the after-final communications recites "wherein <u>some</u> of said plurality of structured sentences have a subject and an attribute contained therein". This limitation currently presented is not the same as the intervening claim indicated allowable in the office action dated 6/28/2005. This claim of 6/28/2005 recited "wherein <u>said plurality</u> of structured sentences have a subject and a plurality of attributes contained therein". The language "<u>some</u> of said plurality of structured sentences" changes the scope of the claims and also raises new 35 USC §112, second paragraph, issues not previously considered as the word "some" is indefinite. Claim 26 contains the same issues as claim 9. Therefore, for at least these reasons, further consideration is required.

Art Unit: 3623

3. Examiner respectfully requests that applicant review the claims and the claims indicated allowable by the Examiner, and that Applicant ensures that the allowable dependent claims have been rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Beth Van Doren whose telephone number is (571) 272-6737.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Hafiz can be reached on (571) 272-6729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

bvd

November 8, 2005